



Monday, October 20 2003

Chairman Michael K. Powell  
445 12th Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20554

VIA FACSIMILE

Dear Chairman Powell,

As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products, I urge the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag." I am gravely concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way I enjoy television.

The digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer if switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room for yet another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition by making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable.

In addition, I am very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's technology, I can be more than a passive recipient of content -- I can modify, create, and participate. I can record TV to watch later; clip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home movie; send an email clip of my child's football game to a distant relative; or record a TV program onto a DVD and play it at my friend's apartment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that I enjoy.

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable, flexible, and exciting, what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A prettier TV picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a citizen and consumer of broadcast television, I urge you to promote the digital transition by opposing the broadcast flag.

Sincerely,

Ben Benigno  
916 Magnolia  
Lake Jackson, TX 77566

October 20, 2003

Chairman Michael K. Powell  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street, NW  
Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Michael Powell,

I am writing to voice my opposition to any FCC-mandated adoption of "broadcast flag" technology for digital television. As a consumer and citizen, I feel strongly that such a policy would be bad for innovation, consumer rights, and the ultimate adoption of DTV.

A robust, competitive market for consumer electronics must be rooted in manufacturers' ability to innovate for their customers. Allowing movie studios to veto features of DTV-reception equipment will enable the studios to tell technologists what new products they can create. This will result in products that don't necessarily reflect what consumers like me actually want, and it could result in me being charged more money for inferior functionality.

If the FCC issues a broadcast flag mandate, I would actually be less likely to make an investment in DTV-capable receivers and other equipment. I will not pay more for devices that limit my rights at the behest of Hollywood. Please do not mandate broadcast flag technology for digital television. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

William McMillan  
4400 Valencia Dr  
Mussey, MI 48014  
USA

Sunday, October 19 2003

Chairman Michael K. Powell  
445 12th Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20554

VIA FACSIMILE

Dear Chairman Powell,

As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products, I urge the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag." I am gravely concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way I enjoy television.

The digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer if switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room for yet another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition by making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable.

In addition, I am very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's technology, I can be more than a passive recipient of content -- I can modify, create, and participate. I can record TV to watch later; clip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home movie; send an email clip of my child's football game to a distant relative; or record a TV program onto a DVD and play it at my friend's apartment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that I enjoy.

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable, flexible, and exciting, what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A prettier TV picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a citizen and consumer of broadcast television, I urge you to promote the digital transition by opposing the broadcast flag.

Sincerely,

Mark Salzman  
1208 NE 167th Ave, Vancouver, WA.  
Vancouver, WA 98684

Sunday, October 19 2003

Chairman Michael K. Powell  
445 12th Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20554

VIA FACSIMILE

Dear Chairman Powell,

As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products, I urge the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag." I am gravely concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way I enjoy television.

The digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer if switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room for yet another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition by making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable.

In addition, I am very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's technology, I can be more than a passive recipient of content -- I can modify, create, and participate. I can *record TV to watch later*; *clip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home movie*; *send an email clip of my child's football game to a distant relative*; or *record a TV program onto a DVD and play it at my friend's apartment*. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that I enjoy.

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable, flexible, and exciting, what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A prettier TV picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a citizen and consumer of broadcast television, I urge you to promote the digital transition by opposing the broadcast flag.

Sincerely,

Vincent Lee  
42999 Cedar Springs Court  
Ashburn, VA 20148

Sunday, October 19 2003

Chairman Michael K. Powell  
445 12th Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20554

VIA FACSIMILE

Dear Chairman Powell,

As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products, I urge the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag." I am gravely concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way I enjoy television.

The digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer if switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room for yet another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition by making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable.

In addition, I am very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's technology, I can be more than a passive recipient of content -- I can modify, create, and participate. I can record TV to watch later; clip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home movie; send an email clip of my child's football game to a distant relative; or record a TV program onto a DVD and play it at my friend's apartment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that I enjoy.

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable, flexible, and exciting, what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A prettier TV picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a citizen and consumer of broadcast television, I urge you to promote the digital transition by opposing the broadcast flag.

Sincerely,

Kurt Pachik  
1455 Washington Blvd. #420  
Stamford, CT 06902

Sunday, October 19 2003

Chairman Michael K. Powell  
445 12th Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20554

VIA FACSIMILE

Dear Chairman Powell,

As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products, I urge the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag." I am gravely concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way I enjoy television.

The digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer if switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room for yet another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition by making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable.

In addition, I am very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's technology, I can be more than a passive recipient of content -- I can modify, create, and participate. I can record TV to watch later; clip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home movie; send an email clip of my child's football game to a distant relative; or record a TV program onto a DVD and play it at my friend's apartment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that I enjoy.

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable, flexible, and exciting, what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A prettier TV picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a citizen and consumer of broadcast television, I urge you to promote the digital transition by opposing the broadcast flag.

Sincerely,

Allen V. Winslow Jr.  
116 Prince James Drive  
Hampton, VA 23669

Sunday, October 19 2003

Chairman Michael K. Powell  
445 12th Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20554

VIA FACSIMILE

Dear Chairman Powell,

As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products, I urge the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag." I am gravely concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way I enjoy television.

The digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer if switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room for yet another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition by making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable.

In addition, I am very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's technology, I can be more than a passive recipient of content -- I can modify, create, and participate. I can record TV to watch later; clip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home movie; send an email clip of my child's football game to a distant relative; or record a TV program onto a DVD and play it at my friend's apartment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that I enjoy.

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable, flexible, and exciting, what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A prettier TV picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a citizen and consumer of broadcast television, I urge you to promote the digital transition by opposing the broadcast flag.

Sincerely,

John E. Short  
Po. Box 13 Tazewell, VA.  
Tazewell, VA 24651

Saturday, October 18 2003

Chairman Michael K. Powell  
445 12th Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20554

VIA FACSIMILE

Dear Chairman Powell,

As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products, I urge the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag." I am gravely concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way I enjoy television.

The digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer if switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room for yet another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition by making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable.

In addition, I am very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's technology, I can be more than a passive recipient of content -- I can modify, create, and participate. I can record TV to watch later; clip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home movie; send an email clip of my child's football game to a distant relative; or record a TV program onto a DVD and play it at my friend's apartment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that I enjoy.

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable, flexible, and exciting, what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A prettier TV picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a citizen and consumer of broadcast television, I urge you to promote the digital transition by opposing the broadcast flag.

Sincerely,

Glenn Peterson  
5176 Seaglen Way  
San Diego, CA 92154



Sunday, October 19 2003

Chairman Michael K. Powell  
445 12th Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20554

VIA FACSIMILE

Dear Chairman Powell,

As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products, I urge the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag." I am gravely concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way I enjoy television.

The digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer if switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room for yet another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition by making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable.

In addition, I am very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's technology, I can be more than a passive recipient of content -- I can modify, create, and participate. I can record TV to watch later; clip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home movie; send an email clip of my child's football game to a distant relative; or record a TV program onto a DVD and play it at my friend's apartment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that I enjoy.

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable, flexible, and exciting, what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A prettier TV picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a citizen and consumer of broadcast television, I urge you to promote the digital transition by opposing the broadcast flag.

Sincerely,

Fred Grambs  
107-51 115 Street  
South Richmond Hill, NY 11419

Sunday, October 19 2003

Chairman Michael K. Powell  
445 12th Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20554

VIA FACSIMILE

Dear Chairman Powell,

As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products, I urge the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag." I am gravely concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way I enjoy television.

The digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer if switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room for yet another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition by making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable.

In addition, I am very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's technology, I can be more than a passive recipient of content -- I can modify, create, and participate. I can record TV to watch later; clip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home movie; send an email clip of my child's football game to a distant relative; or record a TV program onto a DVD and play it at my friend's apartment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that I enjoy.

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable, flexible, and exciting, what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A prettier TV picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a citizen and consumer of broadcast television, I urge you to promote the digital transition by opposing the broadcast flag.

Sincerely,

James Carter  
4814 Raven RD  
Louisville, KY 40213

Saturday, October 18 2003

Chairman Michael K. Powell  
445 12th Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20554

VIA FACSIMILE

Dear Chairman Powell,

*As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products, I urge the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag." I am gravely concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way I enjoy television.*

The digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer if switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room for yet another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition by making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable.

In addition, I am very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's technology, I can be more than a passive recipient of content -- I can modify, create, and participate. I can record TV to watch later; clip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home movie; send an email clip of my child's football game to a distant relative; or record a TV program onto a DVD and play it at my friend's apartment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that I enjoy.

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable, flexible, and exciting, what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A prettier TV picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a citizen and consumer of broadcast television, I urge you to promote the digital transition by opposing the broadcast flag.

Sincerely,

Matt Anderson  
1481 Carver St #117  
Madison, WI 53713

Sunday, October 19 2003

Chairman Michael K. Powell  
445 12th Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20554

VIA FACSIMILE

Dear Chairman Powell,

As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products, I urge the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag." I am gravely concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way I enjoy television.

The digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer if switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room for yet another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition by making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable.

In addition, I am very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's technology, I can be more than a passive recipient of content -- I can modify, create, and participate. I can record TV to watch later; clip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home movie; send an email clip of my child's football game to a distant relative; or record a TV program onto a DVD and play it at my friend's apartment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that I enjoy.

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable, flexible, and exciting, what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A prettier TV picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a citizen and consumer of broadcast television, I urge you to promote the digital transition by opposing the broadcast flag.

Sincerely,

Ken Mack  
8330 NW 51st St Lauderhill, FL.  
Fort Lauderdale, FL 33351

Saturday, October 18 2003

Chairman Michael K. Powell  
445 12th Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20554

VIA FACSIMILE

Dear Chairman Powell,

As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products, I urge the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag." I am gravely concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way I enjoy television.

The digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer if switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room for yet another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition by making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable.

In addition, I am very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's technology, I can be more than a passive recipient of content -- I can modify, create, and participate. I can record TV to watch later; clip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home movie; send an email clip of my child's football game to a distant relative; or record a TV program onto a DVD and play it at my friend's apartment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that I enjoy.

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable, flexible, and exciting, what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A prettier TV picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a citizen and consumer of broadcast television, I urge you to promote the digital transition by opposing the broadcast flag.

Sincerely,

Phillip Auge  
8916 paulhaus way  
Elk Grove, CA 95758

Sunday, October 19 2003

Chairman Michael K. Powell  
445 12th Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20554

VIA FACSIMILE

Dear Chairman Powell,

As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products, I urge the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag." I am gravely concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way I enjoy television.

The digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer if switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room for yet another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition by making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable.

In addition, I am very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's technology, I can be more than a passive recipient of content -- I can modify, create, and participate. I can record TV to watch later; clip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home movie; send an email clip of my child's football game to a distant relative; or record a TV program onto a DVD and play it at my friend's apartment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that I enjoy.

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable, flexible, and exciting, what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A prettier TV picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a citizen and consumer of broadcast television, I urge you to promote the digital transition by opposing the broadcast flag.

Sincerely,

Matt Dohm  
12313 NE 46th Ct  
Vancouver, WA 98686

Sunday, October 19 2003

Chairman Michael K. Powell  
445 12th Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20554

VIA FACSIMILE

Dear Chairman Powell,

As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products, I urge the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag." I am gravely concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way I enjoy television.

The digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer if switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room for yet another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition by making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable.

In addition, I am very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's technology, I can be more than a passive recipient of content -- I can modify, create, and participate. I can record TV to watch later; clip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home movie; send an email clip of my child's football game to a distant relative; or record a TV program onto a DVD and play it at my friend's apartment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that I enjoy.

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable, flexible, and exciting, what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A prettier TV picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a citizen and consumer of broadcast television, I urge you to promote the digital transition by opposing the broadcast flag.

Sincerely,

Travis Nellor  
2955 Maui Place  
Costa Mesa, CA 92626

Saturday, October 18 2003

Chairman Michael K. Powell  
445 12th Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20554

VIA FACSIMILE

Dear Chairman Powell,

As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products, I urge the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag." I am gravely concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way I enjoy television.

The digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer if switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room for yet another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition by making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable.

In addition, I am very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's technology, I can be more than a passive recipient of content -- I can modify, create, and participate. I can record TV to watch later; clip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home movie; send an email clip of my child's football game to a distant relative; or record a TV program onto a DVD and play it at my friend's apartment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that I enjoy.

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable, flexible, and exciting, what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A prettier TV picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a citizen and consumer of broadcast television, I urge you to promote the digital transition by opposing the broadcast flag.

Sincerely,

Dennis Cruise  
7790 SW Alameda Ln  
Beaverton, OR 97007



Sunday, October 19 2003

Chairman Michael K. Powell  
445 12th Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20554

VIA FACSIMILE

Dear Chairman Powell,

As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products, I urge the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag." I am gravely concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way I enjoy television.

The digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer if switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room for yet another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition by making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable.

In addition, I am very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's technology, I can be more than a passive recipient of content -- I can modify, create, and participate. I can record TV to watch later; clip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home movie; send an email clip of my child's football game to a distant relative; or record a TV program onto a DVD and play it at my friend's apartment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that I enjoy.

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable, flexible, and exciting, what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A prettier TV picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a citizen and consumer of broadcast television, I urge you to promote the digital transition by opposing the broadcast flag.

Sincerely,

Matt Brown  
91 Main Street  
Bloomington, OH 43106

Sunday, October 19 2003

Chairman Michael K. Powell  
445 12th Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20554

VIA FACSIMILE

Dear Chairman Powell,

As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products, I urge the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag." I am gravely concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way I enjoy television.

The digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer if switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room for yet another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition by making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable.

In addition, I am very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's technology, I can be more than a passive recipient of content -- I can modify, create, and participate. I can record TV to watch later; clip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home movie; send an email clip of my child's football game to a distant relative; or record a TV program onto a DVD and play it at my friend's apartment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that I enjoy.

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable, flexible, and exciting, what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A prettier TV picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a citizen and consumer of broadcast television, I urge you to promote the digital transition by opposing the broadcast flag.

Sincerely,

Rob Brink  
8829 Buchanan;  
West Olive, MI 49460

NO.	COMM.	PAGES	FILE	DURATION	X/R	IDENTIFICATION	DATE	TIME	DIAGNOSTIC
51	OK	001	751	00:00:48	RCV	16506181679	OCT-19	01:52	050FC00008070
52	OK	001	752	00:00:44	RCV	16506181679	OCT-19	01:53	050FC00008070
53	OK	001	753	00:00:48	RCV	16506181679	OCT-19	01:55	050FC00008070
54	OK	001	754	00:00:45	RCV	16506181679	OCT-19	01:56	050FC00008070
55	OK	001	755	00:00:48	RCV	16506181679	OCT-19	01:57	050FC00008070
56	OK	001	756	00:00:48	RCV	16506181679	OCT-19	01:58	050FC00008070
57	OK	001	757	00:00:44	RCV	16506181679	OCT-19	01:59	050FC00008070
58	OK	001	758	00:00:46	RCV	16506181679	OCT-19	02:00	050FC00008070
59	OK	001	759	00:00:45	RCV	16506181679	OCT-19	02:01	050FC00008070
60	OK	001	760	00:00:28	RCV	5413023099	OCT-19	02:03	0507C00008070
61	OK	001	761	00:00:48	RCV	16506181679	OCT-19	02:04	050FC00008070
62	OK	001	762	00:00:44	RCV	16506181679	OCT-19	02:06	050FC00008070
63	OK	001	763	00:00:44	RCV	16506181679	OCT-19	02:07	050FC00008070
64	OK	001	764	00:00:46	RCV	16506181679	OCT-19	02:09	050FC00008070
65	OK	001	765	00:00:49	RCV	16506181679	OCT-19	02:10	050FC00008070
66	OK	001	766	00:00:46	RCV	16506181679	OCT-19	02:12	050FC00008070
67	OK	001	767	00:00:48	RCV	16506181679	OCT-19	02:13	050FC00008070
68	OK	001	768	00:00:46	RCV	16506181679	OCT-19	02:14	050FC00008070
69	OK	001	769	00:00:48	RCV	16506181679	OCT-19	02:15	050FC00008070
70	OK	001	770	00:00:46	RCV	16506181679	OCT-19	02:17	050FC00008070
71	OK	001	771	00:00:45	RCV	16506181679	OCT-19	02:18	050FC00008070
72	OK	001	772	00:00:47	RCV	16506181679	OCT-19	02:19	050FC00008070
73	OK	001	773	00:00:48	RCV	16506181679	OCT-19	02:20	050FC00008070
74	OK	001	774	00:00:48	RCV	16506181679	OCT-19	02:21	050FC00008070
75	OK	001	775	00:00:44	RCV	16506181679	OCT-19	02:22	050FC00008070
76	OK	001	776	00:00:45	RCV	16506181679	OCT-19	02:29	050FC00008070
77	OK	001	777	00:00:49	RCV	16506181679	OCT-19	02:32	050FC00008070
78	OK	001	778	00:00:44	RCV	16506181679	OCT-19	02:33	050FC00008070
79	OK	001	779	00:00:45	RCV	16506181679	OCT-19	02:34	050FC00008070
80	OK	001	780	00:00:47	RCV	16506181679	OCT-19	02:35	050FC00008070
81	OK	001	781	00:00:44	RCV	16506181679	OCT-19	02:36	050FC00008070
82	OK	001	782	00:00:48	RCV	16506181679	OCT-19	02:37	050FC00008070
83	OK	001	783	00:00:46	RCV	16506181679	OCT-19	02:39	050FC00008070
84	OK	001	784	00:00:48	RCV	16506181679	OCT-19	02:41	050FC00008070
85	OK	001	785	00:00:44	RCV	16506181679	OCT-19	02:42	050FC00008070
86	OK	001	786	00:00:46	RCV	16506181679	OCT-19	02:44	050FC00008070
87	OK	001	787	00:00:45	RCV	16506181679	OCT-19	02:45	050FC00008070
88	OK	001	788	00:00:46	RCV	16506181679	OCT-19	02:46	050FC00008070
89	OK	001	789	00:00:49	RCV	16506181679	OCT-19	02:47	050FC00008070
90	OK	001	790	00:00:46	RCV	16506181679	OCT-19	02:49	050FC00008070
91	OK	001	791	00:00:46	RCV	16506181679	OCT-19	02:50	050FC00008070
92	OK	001	792	00:00:44	RCV	16506181679	OCT-19	02:51	050FC00008070
93	OK	001	793	00:00:44	RCV	16506181679	OCT-19	02:52	050FC00008070
94	OK	001	794	00:00:48	RCV	16506181679	OCT-19	02:54	050FC00008070
95	OK	001	795	00:00:44	RCV	16506181679	OCT-19	02:55	050FC00008070
96	OK	001	796	00:00:47	RCV	16506181679	OCT-19	02:57	050FC00008070
97	OK	001	797	00:00:48	RCV	16506181679	OCT-19	02:58	050FC00008070
98	OK	001	798	00:00:46	RCV	16506181679	OCT-19	02:59	050FC00008070
99	OK	001	799	00:00:44	RCV	16506181679	OCT-19	03:00	050FC00008070
00	OK	001	800	00:00:46	RCV	16506181679	OCT-19	03:02	050FC00008070

-FCC CHAIRMAN POWELL -

NO.	COMM.	PAGES	FILE	DURATION	X/R	IDENTIFICATION	DATE	TIME	DIAGNOSTIC
01	OK	001	701	00:00:47	RCV	16506181679	OCT-18	22:54	050FC00008070
02	OK	001	702	00:00:49	RCV	16506181679	OCT-18	22:57	050FC00008070
03	OK	001	703	00:00:46	RCV	16506181679	OCT-18	22:58	050FC00008070
04	OK	001	704	00:00:44	RCV	16506181679	OCT-18	22:59	050FC00008070
05	OK	001	705	00:00:48	RCV	16506181679	OCT-18	23:00	050FC00008070
06	OK	001	706	00:00:45	RCV	16506181679	OCT-18	23:02	050FC00008070
07	OK	001	707	00:01:21	RCV	16506181679	OCT-18	23:04	050FC00008060
08	OK	001	708	00:00:44	RCV	16506181679	OCT-18	23:06	050FC00008070
09	OK	001	709	00:00:44	RCV	16506181679	OCT-18	23:07	050FC00008070
10	OK	001	710	00:00:46	RCV	16506181679	OCT-18	23:10	050FC00008070
11	OK	001	711	00:00:46	RCV	16506181679	OCT-18	23:11	050FC00008070
12	OK	001	712	00:00:47	RCV	16506181679	OCT-18	23:13	050FC00008070
13	OK	001	713	00:00:44	RCV	16506181679	OCT-18	23:14	050FC00008070
14	OK	001	714	00:00:47	RCV	16506181679	OCT-18	23:16	050FC00008070
15	OK	001	715	00:00:48	RCV	16506181679	OCT-18	23:18	050FC00008070
16	OK	001	716	00:01:12	RCV	16506181679	OCT-18	23:19	050FC00008060
17	OK	001	717	00:00:58	RCV	5413023099	OCT-18	23:20	0507C00008070
18	OK	001	718	00:00:46	RCV	16506181679	OCT-18	23:21	050FC00008070
19	OK	001	719	00:00:48	RCV	16506181679	OCT-18	23:23	050FC00008070
20	OK	001	720	00:00:49	RCV	16506181679	OCT-18	23:24	050FC00008070
21	416	001	721	00:00:55	RCV	16506181679	OCT-18	23:25	010FC00008070
22	OK	001	722	00:00:52	RCV	5413023099	OCT-18	23:26	0507C00008060
23	OK	001	723	00:00:48	RCV	16506181679	OCT-18	23:27	050FC00008070
24	416	001	724	00:00:53	RCV	16506181679	OCT-18	23:28	010FC00008070
25	OK	001	725	00:00:49	RCV	16506181679	OCT-18	23:30	050FC00008070
26	OK	001	726	00:00:44	RCV	16506181679	OCT-18	23:31	050FC00008070
27	OK	001	727	00:00:46	RCV	16506181679	OCT-18	23:32	050FC00008070
28	OK	001	728	00:00:45	RCV	16506181679	OCT-18	23:33	050FC00008070
29	OK	001	729	00:00:46	RCV	16506181679	OCT-18	23:35	050FC00008070
30	OK	001	730	00:00:47	RCV	16506181679	OCT-18	23:36	050FC00008070
31	OK	001	731	00:00:47	RCV	16506181679	OCT-18	23:38	050FC00008070
32	OK	001	732	00:00:28	RCV	5413023099	OCT-18	23:39	0507C00008070
33	OK	001	733	00:00:27	RCV	5413023099	OCT-18	23:40	0507C00008070
34	OK	001	734	00:00:28	RCV	5413023099	OCT-18	23:41	0507C00008070
35	OK	001	735	00:00:28	RCV	5413023099	OCT-18	23:42	0507C00008070
36	OK	001	736	00:00:47	RCV	16506181679	OCT-18	23:43	050FC00008070
37	OK	001	737	00:00:46	RCV	16506181679	OCT-18	23:44	050FC00008070
38	OK	001	738	00:00:47	RCV	16506181679	OCT-18	23:45	050FC00008070
39	OK	001	739	00:00:44	RCV	16506181679	OCT-18	23:46	050FC00008070
40	OK	001	740	00:00:47	RCV	16506181679	OCT-18	23:47	050FC00008070
41	OK	001	741	00:00:47	RCV	16506181679	OCT-18	23:48	050FC00008070
42	OK	001	742	00:00:44	RCV	16506181679	OCT-18	23:50	050FC00008070
43	OK	001	743	00:00:28	RCV	5413023099	OCT-18	23:52	0507C00008070
44	OK	001	744	00:00:47	RCV	16506181679	OCT-18	23:55	050FC00008070
45	OK	001	745	00:00:47	RCV	16506181679	OCT-19	01:42	050FC00008070
46	OK	001	746	00:00:48	RCV	16506181679	OCT-19	01:45	050FC00008070
47	OK	001	747	00:00:44	RCV	16506181679	OCT-19	01:47	050FC00008070
48	OK	001	748	00:00:45	RCV	16506181679	OCT-19	01:49	050FC00008070
49	OK	001	749	00:00:45	RCV	16506181679	OCT-19	01:50	050FC00008070
50	OK	001	750	00:00:45	RCV	16506181679	OCT-19	01:51	050FC00008070

<< CONTINUE >>

-FCC CHAIRMAN POWELL -

Saturday, October 18 2003

Chairman Michael K. Powell  
445 12th Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20554

VIA FACSIMILE

Dear Chairman Powell,

As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products, I urge the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag." I am gravely concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way I enjoy television.

The digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer if switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room for yet another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition by making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable.

In addition, I am very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's technology, I can be more than a passive recipient of content -- I can modify, create, and participate. I can record TV to watch later; clip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home movie; send an email clip of my child's football game to a distant relative; or record a TV program onto a DVD and play it at my friend's apartment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that I enjoy.

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable, flexible, and exciting, what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A prettier TV picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a citizen and consumer of broadcast television, I urge you to promote the digital transition by opposing the broadcast flag.

Sincerely,

Brian Ramsey  
34227 Teddy Ave NE  
Albany, OR 97321

Saturday, October 18 2003

Chairman Michael K. Powell  
445 12th Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20554

VIA FACSIMILE

Dear Chairman Powell,

As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products, I urge the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag." I am gravely concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way I enjoy television.

The digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer if switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room for yet another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition by making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable.

In addition, I am very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's technology, I can be more than a passive recipient of content -- I can modify, create, and participate. I can record TV to watch later; clip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home movie; send an email clip of my child's football game to a distant relative; or record a TV program onto a DVD and play it at my friend's apartment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that I enjoy.

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable, flexible, and exciting, what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A prettier TV picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a citizen and consumer of broadcast television, I urge you to promote the digital transition by opposing the broadcast flag.

Sincerely,

Jack Heczko  
24570 Stewart St 11  
Loma Linda, CA 92354

Saturday, October 18 2003

Chairman Michael K. Powell  
445 12th Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20554

VIA FACSIMILE

Dear Chairman Powell,

As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products, I urge the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag." I am gravely concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way I enjoy television.

The digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer if switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room for yet another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition by making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable.

In addition, I am very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's technology, I can be more than a passive recipient of content -- I can modify, create, and participate. I can record TV to watch later; clip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home movie; send an email clip of my child's football game to a distant relative; or record a TV program onto a DVD and play it at my friend's apartment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that I enjoy.

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable, flexible, and exciting, what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A prettier TV picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a citizen and consumer of broadcast television, I urge you to promote the digital transition by opposing the broadcast flag.

Sincerely,

Robert Watson  
24 Page Street #1, San Francisco  
San Francisco, CA 94102

Saturday, October 18 2003

Chairman Michael K. Powell  
445 12th Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20554

VIA FACSIMILE

Dear Chairman Powell,

As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products, I urge the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag." I am gravely concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way I enjoy television.

The digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer if switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room for yet another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition by making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable.

In addition, I am very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's technology, I can be more than a passive recipient of content -- I can modify, create, and participate. I can record TV to watch later; clip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home movie; send an email clip of my child's football game to a distant relative; or record a TV program onto a DVD and play it at my friend's apartment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that I enjoy.

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable, flexible, and exciting, what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A prettier TV picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a citizen and consumer of broadcast television, I urge you to promote the digital transition by opposing the broadcast flag.

Sincerely,

Gary Lindgren  
585 Lincoln ave  
Palo Alto, CA 94301



Saturday, October 18 2003

Chairman Michael K. Powell  
445 12th Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20554

VIA FACSIMILE

Dear Chairman Powell,

As a consumer of broadcast television, electronics, and computer products, I urge the Federal Communications Commission to vote against the adoption of a "broadcast flag." I am gravely concerned that a broadcast flag regulation would restrict the way I enjoy television.

The digital television transition relies on convincing consumers of the benefits of switching to and buying digital television equipment. That transition will be far more palatable to me as a consumer if switching doesn't mean discarding my existing home network, buying new high-resolution displays, and finding room for yet another device in my living room. Please do not allow the MPAA and its allies to hinder the transition by making us buy special-purpose DTV devices that are more expensive and less valuable.

In addition, I am very concerned about the fair-use implications of the broadcast flag. With today's technology, I can be more than a passive recipient of content -- I can modify, create, and participate. I can *record* TV to watch later; clip a small piece of TV and splice it into a home movie; send an email clip of my child's football game to a distant relative; or record a TV program onto a DVD and play it at my friend's apartment. The broadcast flag seems designed to remove this control and flexibility that I enjoy.

If the move to digital television does not make the public's viewing experience more enjoyable, flexible, and exciting, what compelling reason do I have as a consumer to buy new digital equipment? A prettier TV picture is hardly enough reason for me to dispense with all my current consumer electronics and computer equipment. As a citizen and consumer of broadcast television, I urge you to promote the digital transition by opposing the broadcast flag.

Sincerely,

Darien Gould  
9789 S. Autumnwood Place  
Littleton, CO 80129